

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,244	11/03/2003	Eric Darby	706630US1	3760
24938 75	90 11/30/2006		EXAM	INER
DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION			FORD, JOHN K	
CIMS 483-02-19 800 CHRYSLER DR EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
*********	AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757			
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N() .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/700,244	DARBY, ERIC		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John K. Ford	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to it 35 05 is/are objected to it are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	s propuly adhered.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 is/are: a acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	»□	(DTO 442)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 100 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,244

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10 and the species of Figures 1-4, claims 1-8, both elections made without traverse, is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-8 are addressed in this office action. The non-elected claims (i.e. claims 9-19) are withdrawn at this time.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear to the examiner precisely what the scope of the claims is. The analysis is muddied because applicant does not use the traditional word "comprising" to delineate the preamble from the body of the claim. It appears that the functional statement "for selectively sealing an automotive cooling system" in claim 1 is a matter of intended use. Is that correct? If not, please amend the claim so that the automotive cooling system is a positively and unambiguously recited part of the claimed combination. Because claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation the following prior art is deemed to meet the <u>structural</u> limitations of the claims (consistent with MPEP 2114).

Application/Control Number: 10/700,244

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ingold (USP 3,691,846).

See Figures 1 and 3, in particular. A "connection port" comprised of stationary elements 2, 5 and 6 is shown. The "inner portion" 2 is fluidly connected to a fluid system coolant channel (i.e. vessel 3). An outer portion of element 6 receives the coolant sensor 7. The inner portion has a smaller diameter than the outer portion with the change in diameters occurring at stops 18 and 19. A "bleed channel" 26 is connected to the outer portion of element 6. Figure 3, shows the coolant sensor 7 in applicant's claimed "first position" and Figure 1 shows the coolant sensor 7 in applicant's claimed "second position." A first seal is shown at 16 and a second seal is shown at 28. The bleed channel 26 is open to the interior of the fluid system coolant channel (i.e. vessel 3) when the coolant sensor is retracted as shown in Figure 1 and before handle 31 has been rotated to its closed position. Likewise, the bleed channel

Art Unit: 3744

26 is open to the interior of the fluid system coolant channel (i.e. vessel 3) when the coolant sensor is ready to be inserted into the vessel port as shown in Figure 1 and the handle 31 is rotated to its open position to facilitate insertion.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 6, seals 16 and 28, as shown, appear to be O-rings.

Grooves are clearly shown capturing these sealing elements. Even if seals 16 and 28 were not O-rings, O-rings are ubiquitous in this art and to have used O-rings in both locations would have been obvious to improve sealing, with official notice being taken of O-rings.

Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John K. Ford whose telephone number is 571-272-4911. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JKF

John K. Ford Printery Exemples